2018 Current Fiscal Year Report: National Institute of Nursing Research Initial Review Group

Report Run Date: 06/05/2019 11:53:06 AM

1. Department or Agency 2. Fiscal Year

Department of Health and Human Services 2018

3b. GSA Committee

3. Committee or Subcommittee

National Institute of Nursing Research Initial Review

Group

980

4. Is this New During Fiscal 5. Current

6. Expected Renewal 7. Expected Term

Year? Charter Date Date

No 04/18/1996

8a. Was Terminated During 8b. Specific Termination 8c. Actual Term

FiscalYear? Authority Date

No

9. Agency Recommendation for Next10a. Legislation Req to 10b. Legislation

FiscalYear Terminate? Pending?

Continue Not Applicable Not Applicable

11. Establishment Authority Authorized by Law

12. Specific Establishment 13. Effective 14. Committee 14c.

Authority Date Type Presidential?

42 U.S.C. 282(b)(16) 11/20/1985 Continuing No

15. Description of Committee Grant Review Committee

16a. Total Number of No Reports for this

Reports FiscalYear

17a. Open 0 17b. Closed 3 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 3 Meetings and Dates

Purpose	Start	End
NIH Peer Review	10/19/2017	- 10/20/2017
NIH Peer Review	02/15/2018	- 02/16/2018
NIH Peer Review	06/21/2018	- 06/21/2018

Number of Committee Meetings Listed: 3

	Current FY	Next FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$16,800.00	\$16,800.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$183,340.00	\$310,378.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$38,405.00	\$39,058.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$0.00

 18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants
 \$0.00
 \$0.00

 18c. Other(rents, user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)
 \$24,020.00
 \$24,430.00

 18d. Total
 \$262,565.00\$390,666.00

 19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)
 1.30
 2.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

This committee is composed of recognized biomedical and/or behavioral research authorities who represent the forefront of research and technical knowledge and who provide first-level merit review of highly scientific research grant applications in the fields of nursing. During this reporting period the committee reviewed 77 applications requesting \$19,140,549.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The members of this committee are authorities knowledgeable in the fields of mental health nursing, nursing research, gerontological nursing, physiological nursing, pediatrics, and microbiology.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The committee held 3 meetings during this reporting period.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

This committee is composed of recognized biomedical and/or behavioral research authorities who represent the forefront of research and technical knowledge and who provide first-level merit review of highly scientific and technical research grant applications and contract proposals. These evaluations and recommendations cannot be obtained from other sources because the specialized, complex nature of the applications and proposals requires a unique balance and breadth of expertise not available on the NIH staff or from other established sources.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

The meetings of the committee were closed to the public for the review of grant applications. Sections 552b(c)(4), and 552b(c)(6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act permit the closing of meetings where discussion could reveal confidential trade secrets or commercial property such as patentable material and personal information, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

21. Remarks

Reports: This committee did not produce any reports during this fiscal year. Website: This

committee does not have a dedicated website. DFO/Committee Decision Maker: The DFO and Committee Decision Maker positions are held by the same individual based on the assignment of duties in this Institute. Members: Member Corbie-Smith resigned from the committee in 2017. Due to the large number of members associated with this committee, NIH staff are unable to provide individual zip codes for all members. Current individual meeting rosters, including zip codes are available on line at https://public.era.nih.gov/pubroster/.

Designated Federal Officer

Weigun Li Chief, Office of Review

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
				Peer Review Consultant
ALBERT, STEVEN	07/01/2017	06/30/2020	PROFESSOR AND CHAIR	Member
CARSON, SHANNON	06/21/2018	06/21/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
CONG, XIAOMEI	07/01/2018	06/30/2022	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant
				Member
CORBETT, CINDY	06/21/2018	06/21/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
DOOUEDTY OUADDON	0=1011001=	00/00/0004	ACCOUNTE PROFESCOS AND DIRECTOR	Peer Review Consultant
DOCHERTY, SHARRON	07/01/2017	06/30/2021	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR AND DIRECTOR	Member
DOERING, LYNN	06/21/2018	06/21/2018	PROFESSOR AND ASSOCIATE DEAN	Peer Review Consultant Member
DORSEY, SUSAN	07/01/2017	06/30/2021	PROFESSOR AND CHAIR	Peer Review Consultant
,				Member
DOUGLAS, SARA	06/21/2018	06/21/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant
,				Member
FARLEY, JASON	02/15/2018	02/16/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant
,				Member
FEUDTNER, JOHN	07/01/2014	06/30/2018	DIRECTOR OF RESEARCH AND ATTENDING	Peer Review Consultant
1 200 111211, 001111	0170172011	00/00/2010	PHYSICIAN	Member
FICK, DONNA	06/21/2018	06/21/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant
TION, DONNA	00/21/2010	00/21/2010	T NOT EGGON	Member
FUKUOKA, YOSHIMI	06/21/2018	06/21/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant
TOROURA, TOSTIIVII	00/21/2010	00/21/2010	T NOI ESSON	Member
GROTH, SUSAN	06/21/2019	06/24/2010	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant
GROTH, SUSAN	00/21/2010	00/21/2010	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Member
LIADD MADV	40/40/0047	40/00/0047	PROFESCOR AND ACCOCIATE DEAN	Peer Review Consultant
HAPP, MARY	10/19/2017	10/20/2017	PROFESSOR AND ASSOCIATE DEAN	Member
LIAVAIEO EDIN	07/04/0047	00/00/0004	ACCOUNTE PROFESCOR	Peer Review Consultant
HAYNES, ERIN	07/01/2017	06/30/2021	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Member
LUCKMAN DONALD	00/45/0040	00/40/0040	A COCCUATE PROFESCOR	Peer Review Consultant
HICKMAN, RONALD	02/15/2018	02/16/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Member
LULIANIO IEANINIE	07/04/0045	00/00/0040	PROFESSOR AND DIRECTOR	Peer Review Consultant
HUANG, JEANNIE	07/01/2015	06/30/2019	PROFESSOR AND DIRECTOR	Member
IENEDETTE OODETTA	07/04/0040	00/00/0000	AAAAAAAATE BRAEFAAAB	Peer Review Consultant
JENERETTE, CORETTA	07/01/2018	06/30/2022	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Member
KALAEL KATULEEN	40/40/0047	40/00/0047	FRANCES HILL FOX DISTINGUISHED	Peer Review Consultant
KNAFL, KATHLEEN	10/19/2017	10/20/2017	PROFESSOR	Member
1/ODE: 01/1/07 ALEX	00/45/0040	00/40/0040	PROFESSOR AND VIOL OHAIR	Peer Review Consultant
KOPELOWICZ, ALEX	02/15/2018	02/16/2018	PROFESSOR AND VICE CHAIR	Member
KWEKKEBOOM,	07/04/0040	00/00/0000	PROFESSOR AND DIRECTOR OF PH.D.	Peer Review Consultant
KRISTINE	07/01/2016	06/30/2020	PROGRAM	Member

LEWIS, LISA	07/01/2017 06/30/202	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR AND ASSISTANT	Peer Review Consultant
LEWIS, LISA 07	07/01/2017 00/30/202	DEAN	Member
LVON DEDDA	00/45/0040 00/40/004		Peer Review Consultant
LYON, DEBRA	02/15/2018 02/16/201	8 PROFESSOR	Member
MCCARTHY, ANN	07/04/0040 00/00/00	PROFESSOR AND ASSOCIATE DEAN FOR	Peer Review Consultant
MARIE	07/01/2016 06/30/202	U RESEARCH	Member
		PROFESSOR AND ASSOCIATE DEAN FOR	Peer Review Consultant
MITRANI, VICTORIA	07/01/2013 06/30/201	8 RESEARCH	Member
			Peer Review Consultant
PARKER, LESLIE	06/21/2018 06/21/201	8 CLINICAL ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Member
		3 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant
PIGEON, WILFRED 07/01/201	07/01/2014 06/30/201		Member
			Peer Review Consultant
PULLEN, CAROL	02/15/2018 02/16/201	8 PROFESSOR	Member
			Peer Review Consultant
REDDICK, WILBURN	10/19/2017 10/20/201	7 MEMBER	Member
			Peer Review Consultant
SHULMAN, ROBERT	07/31/2018 06/30/202	2 PROFESSOR OF PEDIATRICS AND PHYSICIAN	Member
			Peer Review Consultant
WARD, TERESA	07/01/2014 06/30/201	8 PROFESSOR	Member
		AMELIA PEABODY PROFESSOR IN NURSING	Peer Review Consultant
WOOD, LISA	07/01/2014 06/30/201	8	
		RESEARCH	Member
WOO, MARY	07/01/2018 06/30/202	2 PROFESSOR OF NURSING	Peer Review Consultant
, was a second			Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 33

Narrative Description

The goal of NIH research is to acquire new knowledge to help prevent, detect, diagnose, and treat disease and disability, from the rarest genetic disorder to the common cold. The NIH mission is to uncover new knowledge that will lead to better health for everyone. NIH works toward that mission by supporting the research of non-federal scientists in universities, medical schools, hospitals, and research institutions throughout the country and abroad. Section 492 of the PHS Act states that The Secretary... shall by regulations require appropriate technical and scientific peer review of (A) applications...; and (B) biomedical and behavioral research and development contracts...

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

	Checked if Applies
Improvements to health or safety	
Trust in government	
Major policy changes	
Advance in scientific research	✓
Effective grant making	✓
Improved service delivery	
Increased customer satisfaction	
Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements	

Other	
Outcome Comments	
NA	
What are the cost savings associated with this committee?	
Ch	ecked if Applies
None	✓
Unable to Determine	
Under \$100,000	
\$100,000 - \$500,000	
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	
Over \$10,000,000	
Cost Savings Other	
Cost Savings Comments	
NIH supported basic and clinical research accomplishments often take ma	ny years to
unfold into new diagnostic tests and new ways to treat and prevent disease	Э.
What is the approximate <u>Number</u> of recommendations produced by the for the life of the committee? 1,726	his committee
Number of Recommendations Comments Grant Review.	
What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that I will be <u>Fully</u> implemented by the agency?	nave been or

% of Recommendations <u>Fully</u> Implemented Comments

Information on the research funded by NIH is available through the RePORT (Research Portfolio Online Reporting Tool) website. RePORT provides access to reports, data, and analyses of NIH research activities that advance the mission of the NIH, including information on NIH expenditures, strategic plans, reports on NIH funding, and reports on the organization and people involved in NIH research and research training. The RePORT website is located at http://report.nih.gov.

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Partially</u> implemented by the agency?

0%

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

Information on the research funded by NIH is available through the RePORT (Research Portfolio Online Reporting Tool) website. RePORT provides access to reports, data, and analyses of NIH research activities that advance the mission of the NIH, including information on NIH expenditures, strategic plans, reports on NIH funding, and reports on the organization and people involved in NIH research and research training. The RePORT website is located at http://report.nih.gov.

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to

implement recommendations or advice offered?	
Yes No Not Applicable	
Agency Feedback Comments NA	
What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the commirecommendation?	ttee's advice or
	Checked if Applies
Reorganized Priorities	
Reallocated resources	
Issued new regulation	
Proposed legislation	
Approved grants or other payments	✓
Other	

Action Comments

An action of approved or recommended for grants receiving initial peer review by this committee does not infer that the grant will be or has been funded. Research grant applications submitted to NIH must go through a two-step review process that includes the initial peer review for scientific and technical merit and a second step of review and approval by a National Advisory Council for program relevance. In addition, prior to an award or funding being made, NIH staff must conduct an administrative review for a number of other considerations. These include alignment with NIH's funding principles, review of the project budget, assessment of the applicant's management systems,

determination of applicant eligibility, and compliance with public policy requirements. After all these steps have been completed, NIH officials make funding decisions on individual grant applications.

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?

Yes

What is the estimated Number of grants reviewed for approval	77
What is the estimated Number of grants recommended for approval	77
What is the estimated Dollar Value of grants recommended for approval	\$19,140,549

Grant Review Comments

NA

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

	Checked if Applies
Contact DFO	✓
Online Agency Web Site	✓
Online Committee Web Site	
Online GSA FACA Web Site	×
Publications	
Other	

Access Comments

NA